Print | Close Window

Subject: Re: Mann v. Plus One, etc.

From: "Deborah MartinNorcross" dmnorcross@martinnorcross.com

Date: Tue, Feb 05, 2008 11:12 am

To: "numoh@umohlaw.com" <numoh@umohlaw.com>

Cc: "Harold Derschowitz (x263)" < HDERSCHOWITZ@iskdnylaw.com>

If any good faith was evidenced in these responses, I would agree, It is not.

Laccept your e-mail as a refusal of our request to answer our interrogatories and document demands properly, and as evidence of an intent to obstruct discovery and to improperly inflate the costs of litigation to these two defendants.

We will provide you with a deficiency letter as you requested. We will include the cost of preparing it in our request for sanctions.

On 2/5/08, numoh@umohlaw.com <numoh@umohlaw.com> wrote:

I don't know what is uncivil about objecting in good faith to interrogatories that violate local rules and the FRCP. My email clearly states that hard copies of the documents and CD referenced in plaintiff's responses were going in the mail to all parties. Talso provided names of all witnesses that plaintiff is currently aware of.

Let me remind you of your duty to meet and confer over discovery disputes before approaching the court. If you have any problems with plaintiff's responses, detail them in a deficiency letter.

Uwem I. Umoh 255 Livingston Street, 4th Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217 718.360.0527 718.360.1916 (Fax)

This electronic message transmission is sent by the Umoh Law Office. This message contains information that is confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) to which it is addressed. Any unauthorized use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this message is prohibited.

If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone at (718) 360-0527 or notify the sender by return e-mail message and delete this message and all its attachments. Thank you.

------ Original Message -----

Subject: Mann v. Plus One, etc.

From: "Deborah MartinNorcross" < dmnorcross@martinnorcross.com>

Date: Tue, February 05, 2008 5.24 am

To: "numoh@umphiaw.com" <numoh@umohlaw.com>

Cc: "Harold Dc.ochowitz (x263)" < HOURSCHOWITZ@lskdnylaw.com>

Dear Uwem:

I sincerely believe in litigating cases as civitly and professionally as possible. That is why I immediately granted your request for an extension of time to respond to our discovery requests.

9/8/2008 10:31 AM

Electrical Conference what you o-mailed at 11.44 p.m. last night. You have not provided <u>any</u> responsive Electrical and or documents. All you have provided are boiler-plate, spurious objections to virtually every request propounded on Ms. Mann by Plus One and Mr. MacDonald. There can be no good faith basis for this lack of compilance with your basic discovery obligations.

Please provide full and complete responses to our clients' interrogatories and document requests by no later than Friday. If you refuse, please so advise me immediately so I can contact the Court. Given the obvious absence of any effort by you could your client to comply with your discovery obligations, I will be seeking sanctions.

I will let you know if we can proceed with Plaintiff's deposition next week when I have had a chance to talk with Harold. I think it is unlikely.

Deborah

Deborah Martin Norcross, Esq. MartinNorcross LLC 60 Marion Road West Princeton, NJ 03540 (609) 249-586°) (609) 945-3912 Fax drimercross@martinnorcross.com

Deborah Martin Norcross, Esq. MartinNorcross LLC 60 Marion Road West Princeton, NJ 08540 (609) 249-5860 (609) 945-3912 Fax dmnorcross@martinnorcross.com

Copyright © 2003-2008. All rights reserved.

9/8/2008 10:31